

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/619,993	CARBONELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) Kenneth Sibley.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 December 2003

**Time:** 11:00

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15, 34 and 43

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner inquired if applicant had intended to amend claim 43 since the identifier for the claim reads "currently amended". The examiner inquired if Applicant would amend the base claims to clearly set forth that the composition is a civil infrastructure protectant composition. Mr. Sibley agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the examiner's amendment..